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The Difference of Perspective between Electeds and Staff

Understand and embrace the important but different perspectives that elected officials and staff bring to their respective roles as part of a democratic institution at the local level.

- Elected officials focus on what their constituents value and need from the agency; and

- Staff has technical expertise in policy areas and what can work, given their day-to-day experiences with implementing agency policies, practices and service delivery that can help inform the decision-making process.¹

Both perspectives are important in making decisions in the community’s interests. Elected officials play a key bridging function between the community needs and staff; the chief executive officer in turn plays a bridging function between elected officials and staff.
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In addition, the following publications are helpful on this topic:

- International City/County Management Association and National League of Cities, Working Together: A Guide for Elected and Appointed Officials (1999); and


¹ Resources on Maximizing the Success of Board/Chief Executive Relations

Companion pieces to this tip sheet are available:

- Board/Executive Staff Communications Strategies
- Chief Executive Strategies
- The Importance of an Annual Evaluation Process

Visit our website at: http://www.ca-ilg.org/Board-Chief-Executive-Relations
Bridging Function

Elected officials play an important bridging role between the public and staff; the agency’s chief executive plays an important bridging function between staff and elected officials.
Role Division

Current thinking is that elected and appointed officials operate in all four dimensions of the governance process: mission, policy, administration and management, although to differing degrees. The graphic below illustrates this phenomenon. The curved line illustrates the division of roles between governing boards and executive staff; how this line looks for each local agency varies.

---

**Dimensions of the governmental process**

**Illustrative tasks for council**
- Determine "purpose," scope of services, tax level, constitutional issues
- Pass ordinances; approve new projects and programs; ratify budget
- Make implementing decisions (e.g., site selection); handle complaints; oversee administration
- Suggest management changes to manager; review organizational performance in manager's appraisal

**Illustrative tasks for administrators**
- Advise (what city "can" do may influence what it "should" do); analyze conditions and trends
- Make recommendations on all decisions; formulate budget; determine service distribution formulae
- Establish practices and procedures and make decisions for implementing policy
- Control the human, material, and informational resources of organization to support policy and administrative functions

The curved line suggests the division between the elected officials' and the appointed official's spheres of activity, with the council to the left and the manager to the right of the line. The division represented is intended to roughly approximate a "proper" degree of separation and sharing. Shifts to either the left or right would indicate improper incursions.

Role Clarity

A shared understanding of the chief executive’s role and the governing board’s expectations optimizes the working relationship.

- The process of developing such a shared understanding begins with the hiring process and each participant in the process being forthright about their respective expectations.

- An annual evaluation process is an ongoing opportunity for such communication, particularly as governing board members change.

Staff will be most able to perform to expectations if those expectations are clear and mutually acceptable.

Setting Goals and Priorities

A helpful practice is to have the governing board establish priorities and strategic goals for the organization; such goals and priorities are a tool to guide the chief executive and staff on where to focus their efforts.

Establishing an annual time for board reflection on community priorities would also offer an opportunity to discuss decorum among members and the executive-board relationship.

Limited Resources Means Difficult Trade-offs

An unhappy reality is that there are likely insufficient resources to accomplish everything that the community and elected governing board members desire. This reality creates challenges for the chief executive in proposing a budget as well as well as managing the agency work force.

Finding Common Ground

A key skill for a governing board member is finding areas of agreement and common interests with other board members. Within the parameters of the state’s open meeting laws, work with the other governing board members to find areas of agreement on what courses of action best serves the public’s interests.

In the event that board members disagree, clear ground rules can quell acrimony before it becomes a public spectacle.
Understanding that Public Policymaking Involves Value Choices

Policy choices tend to be choices among different values, including the values of fairness, compassion, efficiency, individual rights, common good and others. The “correct” answer is likely to be an elusive goal, particularly since members of the community as well as other members of the governing board are likely to give different values different weight.

Chief Executive Works for the Entire Board

All members of the body were elected by the community to participate in the agency’s decision-making processes. As a result, the chief executive’s responsibility is to strive for positive working relationships with all members of the body equally and provide information equally to all members of the body.

Communications Needs

Let the chief executive know what kinds of communications work for you. While the chief executive’s job is to share information with all members of the governing body equally (and typically through a combination of written communications and one-on-one meetings), governing body members will often have different communications preferences as to what combination of these two techniques work best for them.

Transparent Decision-Making

The governing board makes decisions (and gives staff direction) at open and well-publicized governing board meetings. These decisions occur after having listened to, inquired of, and learned from in your interactions with all segments of the community and staff.

When the Governing Board Changes

Staff’s job is to implement the policies adopted by the governing body. This includes implementing changes in policy direction when the philosophy of the governing board changes.

New majorities on boards sometimes impute the policy preferences of the previous boards to staff. This causes them to worry that staff will not be as diligent in implementing changes to previous policies. They sometimes believe staff has to change in order for policies to change.

This is another area where frank and ongoing communication is helpful. Most professional staff understand that policy directions change and that their role is to implement that change, as long as the policy falls within the bounds of the laws and public service ethics.

Allow a certain amount of time for staff and the new majority to get to know one another and see if a productive working relationship can occur.
The Benefits of Collaboration

Be forthright on your objectives and goals with the chief executive, so he or she can do what is possible to help you achieve them (as opposed to “going around” him or her). A chief executive will try to be as responsive as possible to the needs of individuals on the governing board; however understand that significant tasks are likely to require governing body buy in and some tasks may conflict with priorities and policies adopted by the full governing body.

Ground Rules

A helpful practice is for the governing board as an entity to adopt, regularly review and update how the board will conduct its meetings and make decisions. Such protocols typically address meeting procedures (agenda preparation, how to put issues on the agenda, debate and voting procedures (parliamentary rules), and standards of decorum (civility).

Managing Difficult Board Members

Staff’s role is to provide information to enable elected officials to knowledgeably participate in the decision-making process. However, from time to time, there will be difficult and divisive board members that create a challenging and uncomfortable environment for both the board and staff. There is no one-size-fits-all solution to solve such a problem. In the end, the board must manage its own behavior—not staff.

Staff Preparation

If you have questions, concerns and/or information needs (or know that members of the community do), provide staff a heads up in advance of meetings so staff can be prepared to address them.

Unwelcome Information

One of staff’s least favorite roles is providing information and analysis that will make one or more governing board members unhappy. Typically, providing such information is part of staff’s job to avoid surprising the board. If pursuing a given course of action could have negative outcomes (a lawsuit, unintended consequences or a chance that a given goal will not be achieved), it is staff’s job to let the board know so the board can factor such information and risks into the decision. If possible, staff will also try to identify options and alternatives for reducing the risk of negative outcomes.
Directing Questions and Criticisms

Question, and if appropriate, criticize ideas, policies, programs or outcomes, but not the individuals involved (whether those individuals are fellow elected officials, staff or members of the public). Remember that staff is your tool to accomplish your objectives. Public praise for things you like will motivate; public criticism and embarrassment will discourage. Criticism or information regarding staff missteps should be directed to the chief executive to address.

Responding to Mistakes and Disappointing Outcomes

Mistakes are likely to happen in any organization. If something bad happened, ask what measures can and will be taken to prevent such missteps in the future.
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